

SHERWOOD FOREST PARK MASTER PLAN REVIEW ~ September 20, 2012 ~ Comment Sheet

Roads and Parking Lots	Community Centre Building	East Building and Pavilion	Domes	Sports Fields and Lights	Permitted vs Passive Areas	Trees and Green Space	NEW
<p>I wouldn't allow a Burloak connection. Present access is suitable.</p> <p>Bridges already built no further expansion.</p> <p>Connect parking lot near baseball field to rugby alongside the existing unused grass field next to it.</p> <p>Eliminate vehicle traffic in pedestrian areas.</p>	<p>Building should use current space in the park that is not used now.</p> <p>The rec centre should be replaced w/a sports centered facility. It could be an exemplary sports centre, a centre experience for a number of sports not only soccer.</p> <p>More senior activities.</p>	<p>Should be maintained w/improvements in quality. Better seating would be an overall asset.</p>	<p>No more domes.</p> <p>Maintain focus on soccer but add more park amenities (benches, play areas).</p> <p>BYSC is very big & well run providing a valuable service.</p>	<p>No other sports shouldn't be left out.</p> <p>There should be an emphasis on multi-sport facilities for soccer as well as other sports at recreation level & elite level.</p> <p>There has been no discussion over the year's w/rugby club. No discussion taken place regarding relocation to club officials.</p>	<p>Equal access & activities for the public.</p> <p>No fences. Develop more passive recreation & accessible recreation.</p> <p>No office space to 3rd party; expand open access facilities.</p>	<p>Expand trail system & preserve greenspace.</p> <p>Plant more trees.</p> <p>The focus of enhancements should be on natural space. Polyurethane turf is green it is not however green space.</p>	<p>Better alignment with Burlington Strategic Plan.</p> <p>No OFF-LEASH.</p>
<p>Connect Burloak, shut down the interior parking lot on the Burloak side nearest the soccer centre, eliminate Fairview extension. This would eliminate the present danger of people driving in the ballpark parking lot while young children are using the road as access from Prince William & Deerhurst to get to the creek.</p>	<p>Build new centre in 10 years.</p> <p>Potential uses: seniors programs, splash pad, b-ball court, tennis courts, outdoor rink.</p>	<p>No more expansion of sports more family related.</p>	<p>Domes are too many. This reduces the available green space available to everyone.</p> <p>I coach in BYSC & see no reason to give this public place over to BYSC exclusively or expand what is presently there.</p>	<p>There are already adequate soccer facilities at this park.</p> <p>Already there. No need to expand.</p> <p>This should be clarified to reflect that this is a park used in part for soccer fields but not primarily for soccer.</p>	<p>If no additional green space used and/or it is also available for passive recreation. (non permitted)</p>	<p>Remain as greenspace, open to everyone. Maximise the amount of greenspace & natural areas.</p> <p>I feel strongly that the trail system be preserved.</p>	<p>Can we not develop more fields & rec centres in N. Burlington where the major residential development is occurring?</p>
<p>A road would tear the park apart. It would destroy the concept of multi-sports facilities.</p>	<p>Meeting rooms, yoga classes, zumba, gym, indoor athletics, dancing, bridge, seniors options, skateboard park.</p>	<p>Take out primary ball field & soccer field.</p>	<p>Administration of the domes. The city should institute the fees & permits, not the soccer club.</p>	<p>This should be a multi-use facility not just soccer.</p>	<p>Build better trails.</p>	<p>More trees on the east side.</p> <p>Do not change.</p>	<p>What about street hockey venue since it is frowned upon?</p>

SHERWOOD FOREST PARK MASTER PLAN REVIEW ~ September 20, 2012 ~ Comment Sheet

Roads and Parking Lots	Community Centre Building	East Building and Pavilion	Domes	Sports Fields and Lights	Permitted vs Passive Areas	Trees and Green Space	NEW
Access from Fairview to Harvester may be required. A pedestrian only path on the east side is key.	The outdoor space needs to be available to all residents especially as greenspace is slowly diminishing.	Yes to ball field.	This is a city park not neighborhood. If it doesn't involve over development of the park.	Dependant upon potential use involvement.	The park is home to multi hour & day tourneys & conferences, having facilities to allow non-participants be enhanced.	Very important as there are fewer areas.	Basketball courts for pick up games.
This should be stricken from the plan. It doesn't benefit the residents of Burlington, only commuters & residents of Oakville. A road connectio between Burloak & Fairview is an awful idea.	Bring amenities except the pool like Mainway or Tansley Woods.	Larger pavillion.	Since the decision of council in 2010 to name City View Park as a centre of excellence for soccer (or program if you prefer) Sherwood need not have this designation.	Mixed use, non permitted activity. There are enough artifical turfs.	More areas for sitting.	Cannot afford to lose green space, embarrassing shortage already.	I would recommend city staff & council engage the public for enhancements to the park that would benefit the city aside from sport.
People really enjoy use of the paved pedestrian path. I believe there should be an entrance off Burloak. I find the entrance to the fields rather misleading.	A facility that potentially houses the executive office of all sports clubs. A central location to go for registrations or info on BLOMA/BMFA.	No more soccer on ball fields.	Remove soccer from master plan. Assess potential City View Park as the preferred venue for a possible centre of excellence.	I have no objections to this. Address lighting in the baseball diamonds.	My hope is for very little change. A park where my grandchildren can play & exercise w/no fields.	This is a city park for all people in the city not a community park or for soccer.	No more parks & fields with locks & gates.
It would be a detriment to the park & neighbourhood to proceed w/Fairview ext.	Size of the facility would need to be serviced w/existing parking (don't build a 1000 seat facility w/only 200 parking spots.	Lots of baseball diamonds.	Maximum three. Agree but major upgrades need to take place or make better use of the facility at City View Park.	An increase in the number of quality soccer fields is required to be on par w/Oakville etc.	Reduce wasted space if not a sports field should be returned to nature by default. Default should not be grass.	Keep as is.	Improve bike paths.
As my home faces Fairview St. & is w/in 25 ft. from it, the increase in traffic & noise would be unbarable.	Meeting rooms	Should be dependent.	Put at City View Park-the Pan Am park.	Turf fields aren't required w/New City & Norton.		Maintain & preserve trails.	
Would be good to make fields accessible from different parking areas.	An indoor pool would be nice, a library, outdoor splash pad.		The opportunities to create new or updated facilities in the south-east of Burlington are minimal while north of the hwy. has multiple facilities, community centres.	Remove the tennis courts.		Keep natural areas & plant trees on south side of park to encourage wildlife to have natural roadway.	

SHERWOOD FOREST PARK MASTER PLAN REVIEW ~ September 20, 2012 ~ Comment Sheet

Roads and Parking Lots	Community Centre Building	East Building and Pavilion	Domes	Sports Fields and Lights	Permitted vs Passive Areas	Trees and Green Space	NEW
Removes majority of public access to the soccer facilities. Any stadium built would eventually be multi-purpose & there wouldn't be sufficient parking. Our neighborhood would be filled w/street parking.	I do not support addition of any facilities that have locks or any form of barriers. This facility doesn't have complete access for all.	The ball diamonds are still relevant based on the usage.	Burlington is not big enough that it requires multiple soccer programs. The city needs to represent all of its citizens regardless of income from certain groups.	Only utilize space that already uses lighting as it is bright & distracting at night even 3 blocks away. Do not add more lights.	Do not increase footprint of sporting fields, existing footprint sufficient.	Any green space is good.	
Current sidewalks are sufficient.	This is supposed to be a city park usable by all.		No going back, looking forward it needs to be limited to current approval.	A couple of courts to play beach volleyball.	User pay for sporting fields.	Preserve the trails.	
Do not build bridge to Harvester. No pedestrian bridge.	The city should investigate the need for a multi-use facility on vacant industrial land & remove the "Mickey Mouse" dome structures w/a single full size play field.		Agree except that if BYSC has actual ownership of one that should be run by them. Take this out of the plan completely.	Sounds like BYSC owns the artificial turf pitches to me. Is there a need for another?		Maintain trails for HDSB cross country races.	
If Burloak Dr. access was extended to the West side of the park this would impact the natural areas so no it is not relevant.	Depends what the space is utilized for.		I want it to look like a park not a sports complex. Keep open to the public (problem-no longer public space).	Three artificial turfs are already encroaching upon open access for all.		More protection should be in place for the creek.	
Eliminate outdoor field to accommodate more parking.	Keep in same area & don't lose natural space.		Take out of master plan. Keep access to all groups.	One field has artificial turf to attract more provincial competition.		Expand the tree canopy.	

SHERWOOD FOREST PARK MASTER PLAN REVIEW ~ September 20, 2012 ~ Comment Sheet

Roads and Parking Lots	Community Centre Building	East Building and Pavilion	Domes	Sports Fields and Lights	Permitted vs Passive Areas	Trees and Green Space	NEW
A minor connection w/some deterance for thur traffic should be considered as it would provide convenience.	Centres of excellence restrict access for all, restricts it to higher skilled/elite participants. Is in conflict with an inclusive community as guiding principle of the strategic plan.		Two domes is enough. Soccer program of excellence run by who...paid for by? BYSC is not the only soccer club in Burlington.	A policy needs to be put in place for the proper care of fields so that is doesn't become necessary to shut down as Maple for periods of time.		Expand natural areas. Clear out dead falls in forest more often.	
My kids use these trails/paths to bike, play & get back & forth to the library. No road connection please, very easy to get from Appleby to Burloak in other ways. Road connection not necessary.	Make it like Tansley Woods. Expand.		I would support only if there is an increase in the number of fields & no further restriction to the fields by the public. Dome over astro turf.	The difference in sizes of youth programs should dictate that the facility is used expressly for soccer pitches. Rugby & BMFA should have joint a facility as they have similar requirements & smaller #'s of registrants		Expand long term. Connect to old growth remnant, corner of Fothergill & Prince William.	
Redo speedbumps, residents won't be happy w/the overflow of traffic. Do not extend the road. In favor of Burloak connection.			I feel the domes are ok & do not impact any other space.	The city has not sustained the current fields of play. None of the fields can be considered marginal for playing soccer/rugby safely.		Keep all natural areas & trails.	
The update enhancements are wonderful.			I would question who would run, would it be exclusive?	We have enough artificial turfs.			
Not needed for GO. Consider reducing the size Fairview, may allow for another field.			No more than three.	Remove soccer fields fences so all residents can use.			

SHERWOOD FOREST PARK MASTER PLAN REVIEW ~ September 20, 2012 ~ Comment Sheet

Roads and Parking Lots	Community Centre Building	East Building and Pavilion	Domes	Sports Fields and Lights	Permitted vs Passive Areas	Trees and Green Space	NEW
A minor connection w/some deterrence for thur traffic should be considered as it would provide convenience.	Centres of excellence restrict access for all, restricts it to higher skilled/elite participants. Is in conflict with an inclusive community as guiding principle of the strategic plan.		No more than three domes. The domes are exclusive now – not all users can book them.	A policy needs to be put in place for the proper care of fields so that is doesn't become necessary to shut down as Maple for periods of time.	Add benches.	Add benches & more supervision during long weekends to ensure the safety of the users.	
No extensions on Fairview. I would recommed proper berms at the parking lots to prevent car lights from reaching the housing especially backing onto Mullin Way. The current berms are not effective/not placed correctly.	Ok with it but make it bigger. It's time for this building to be updated.		I heard rumors of BYSC wanting to put a bar into the facility. Programs should be inclusive.	The difference in sizes of youth programs should dictate that the facility is used expressly for soccer pitches. Rugby & BMFA should have joint a facility as they have similar requirements & smaller #'s of registrants	May be worth considering removing the permit requirement on the non fenced field to allow for more instructed times.	Protect wooded areas.	
Unacceptable! A walkway should be beside existing roads for safety when biking, walking between Sheldon Park.	As long as it does not reduce green space. Put in a senior centre for cards & games.		Depends on the needs of the clubs involved. Site is established as the seasonal soccer facility but needs may change to year round facility.	The city has not sustained the current fields of play. None of the fields can be considered marginal for playing soccer/rugby safely.	Add more trees.	We need greenspace to play without paying a fee.	
Direct access for park users.			Why ask if it's already approved.	Needs are not there.		An increase I facilities is going to impact natural areas.	

SHERWOOD FOREST PARK MASTER PLAN REVIEW ~ September 20, 2012 ~ Comment Sheet

Roads and Parking Lots	Community Centre Building	East Building and Pavilion	Domes	Sports Fields and Lights	Permitted vs Passive Areas	Trees and Green Space	NEW
Do not destroy area behind Mullin Way homes. We have enough traffic w/bike path.	There is room for a newer building, but for multi-use for many venues not just social.		Public input needed before any decisions. No more expansion of soccer.	Not concerned w/number of fields but there is potential for other rec facilities eg: skatepark, tennis courts	Splash pad, basketball court, ice rink. We need facilities for passive use.	It should easily fit in any redevelopment.	
Change layout to reduce driving in the park. What about purchasing empty lot on Burloak?	A true recreational centre should be built for use by all age groups & all recreation activities.		Who is funding this program? Other soccer clubs would like this chance.	Stay as sports but change number of fields.	Need public space that is useable for the community.	Need to keep areas natural by the creek.	
If it improves access to park.			Book thru city not BYSC, domes should be open to other groups.	Bring back tennis courts.			
Pedestrian traffic turns into road & back to pedestrian trail –not safe (no stop & yield signs)			Three domes are enough. Leave our kids some grass to play on.	Maintain number of fields, don't take away tempory field.			
Please close the road on the south side of the park to cars. Should move the parking lot in the middle of the park. Separate the traffic, the south road should be closed to cars.			Remove from master plan. City Park is good for soccer.	If it's going to be fenced off & excludes others then we don't need another. If the need is there for rugby then provide the growth. I am not familiar enough w/growing demand concering rugby.		Connection to broader trails would be preferred.	

SHERWOOD FOREST PARK MASTER PLAN REVIEW ~ September 20, 2012 ~ Comment Sheet

Roads and Parking Lots	Community Centre Building	East Building and Pavilion	Domes	Sports Fields and Lights	Permitted vs Passive Areas	Trees and Green Space	NEW
A minor connection w/some deterance for thur traffic should be considered as it would provide convenience.	Centres of excellence restrict access for all, restricts it to higher skilled/elite participants. Is in conflict with an inclusive community as guiding principle of the strategic plan.		Three is okay but no more, they are well used.	A policy needs to be put in place for the proper care of fields so that is doesn't become necessary to shut down as Maple for periods of time.			
No extensions on Fairview. If the use of GO has the potential to eliminate auto congestion.	Ok with it but make it bigger. It's time for this building to be updated. Have not seen this as a building accessible /useful to the public.		This venue is inferior to City View Park. This new facility better showcases our city.	The difference in sizes of youth programs should dictate that the facility is used expressly for soccer pitches. Rugby & BMFA should have joint a facility as they have similar requirements & smaller #'s of registrants			
Overpass in park will become hang out for kids drinking, noise & garbage.	I recommend the city runs this & not have a joint venture.		Remove from Master Plan.	The city has not sustained the current fields of play. None of the fields can be considered marginal for playing soccer/rugby safely.			
Connect Fairview to Harvester across tracks.			Enough with the soccer use.	We have enough artificial turfs.			

SHERWOOD FOREST PARK MASTER PLAN REVIEW ~ September 20, 2012 ~ Comment Sheet

Roads and Parking Lots	Community Centre Building	East Building and Pavilion	Domes	Sports Fields and Lights	Permitted vs Passive Areas	Trees and Green Space	NEW
Safety issue, collision issue on bridge (needs warning sign at top of hill), oil/hydro corridor & road/pedestrian, wildlife corridor from Prince William/Fothergill to Sheldon Creek.	The soccer club has one field & room in the centre that they allow the public to use. Take out joint use & let rugby have some space.		When will the city staff realize it is time for the community to be able to use the park.	Basketball court-public; multi-use black top. Remove soccer fields fences so all residents can use.			
Will be congested, not enough parking now.	Should become multi-use for the young & old.		Remove the recommendation of a soccer program.	Do not reduce the number & size fo current soccer fields.			
Yes, if connect Burloak to East access then I prefer people not access baseball parking by Prince William.	Need community rooms; gyms; locker rooms.		Is there a real need for this? There are enough indoor domes in the city.	Are other city soccer pitches effectively used? I understand a study is being done regarding number of fields in the city.			
Remove any Fairview Rd. extension. Leave in one from Burloak & pave the road to the ball parks.				Rugby field not issue to me, there is room for walking.			
Fairview extension must be stopped.				Do not take away public land.			
				Sustain the fields.			
				No more fenced fields, take down the barriers.			
				Depends on the needs of the rugby club.			

SHERWOOD FOREST PARK MASTER PLAN REVIEW ~ September 20, 2012 ~ Comment Sheet

Roads and Parking Lots	Community Centre Building	East Building and Pavilion	Domes	Sports Fields and Lights	Permitted vs Passive Areas	Trees and Green Space	NEW
A minor connection w/some deterance for thur traffic should be considered as it would provide convenience.	Centres of excellence restrict access for all, restricts it to higher skilled/elite participants. Is in conflict with an inclusive community as guiding principle of the strategic plan.			A policy needs to be put in place for the proper care of fields so that is doesn't become necessary to shut down as Maple for periods of time.			
No extensions on Fairview. If the use of GO has the potential to eliminate auto congestion.	Ok with it but make it bigger. It's time for this building to be updated. Have not seen this as a building accessible /useful to the public.			The difference in sizes of youth programs should dictate that the facility is used expressly for soccer pitches. Rugby & BMFA should have joint a facility as they have similar requirements & smaller #'s of registrants			
Sidewalks are in place & sufficient.	I recommend the city runs this & not have a joint venture.			The city has not sustained the current fields of play. None of the fields can be considered marginal for playing soccer/rugby safely.			

SHERWOOD FOREST PARK MASTER PLAN REVIEW ~ September 20, 2012 ~ Comment Sheet

Roads and Parking Lots	Community Centre Building	East Building and Pavilion	Domes	Sports Fields and Lights	Permitted vs Passive Areas	Trees and Green Space	NEW
If it can be as natural & environmentally sensitive as possible.	New building needed but not be increased to annoy neighbors on Mullin Way.			Fix tennis courts w/fences.			
	Present building outdated, needs to be replace. Allowing it to be a multi-use, multi-partner capacity.			Lack of tennis courts.			
				Remove soccer fields fences so all residents can use.			
				Don't need anymore sports fields.			
				Remove soccer fields fences so all residents can use.			
				Some sort of shield to block lights from field.			
				Skateboarding & tennis courts – no more soccer.			
				No more rubber grass, fences, more private use.			
				Rugby club should be given more space for a second pitch or youth pitch unless they want to relocate their field. Should be protected by the city at all cost.			

SHERWOOD FOREST PARK MASTER PLAN REVIEW ~ September 20, 2012 ~ Comment Sheet

Roads and Parking Lots	Community Centre Building	East Building and Pavilion	Domes	Sports Fields and Lights	Permitted vs Passive Areas	Trees and Green Space	NEW
A minor connection w/some deterance for thur traffic should be considered as it would provide convenience.	Centres of excellence restrict access for all, restricts it to higher skilled/elite participants. Is in conflict with an inclusive community as guiding principle of the strategic plan.			A policy needs to be put in place for the proper care of fields so that is doesn't become necessary to shut down as Maple for periods of time.			
No extensions on Fairview. If the use of GO has the potential to eliminate auto congestion.	Ok with it but make it bigger. It's time for this building to be updated. Have not seen this as a building accessible /useful to the public.			The difference in sizes of youth programs should dictate that the facility is used expressly for soccer pitches. Rugby & BMFA should have joint a facility as they have similar requirements & smaller #'s of registrants			
Sidewalks are in place & sufficient.	I recommend the city runs this & not have a joint venture.			The city has not sustained the current fields of play. None of the fields can be considered marginal for playing soccer/rugby safely.			

SHERWOOD FOREST PARK MASTER PLAN REVIEW ~ September 20, 2012 ~ Comment Sheet

Roads and Parking Lots	Community Centre Building	East Building and Pavilion	Domes	Sports Fields and Lights	Permitted vs Passive Areas	Trees and Green Space	NEW
GO has already said this is unrealistic & not workable. Chair of Metralynx say this is not possible.	New building needed but not be increased to annoy neighbors on Mullin Way.			Turf is less polluting than grass. Grass fertilizer pesticide run off into ravine causes algae bloom killing fish.			
	It's possible an Alton Village type rec centre would serve better.			Preserve spaces for running, nature, childrens playground.			
	Would like to see this facility used to accommodate a broad range of possible users.			We have enough artificial turfs. Light pollution is a problem, at the same time pedestrian walkway not lit.			
	Improve the centre. There are no programs for older residents.			Let popularity of use decide.			
	Library, similar to Brant Hills & Tansley Woods			No change required.			
				We don't need another soccer pitch, there are lots w/in Burl.			
				Should be multi-use.			
				The city has spent enough money on artificial turf. City View Park has provided us enough.			

SHERWOOD FOREST PARK MASTER PLAN REVIEW ~ September 20, 2012 ~ Comment Sheet

Roads and Parking Lots	Community Centre Building	East Building and Pavilion	Domes	Sports Fields and Lights	Permitted vs Passive Areas	Trees and Green Space	NEW
<p>A minor connection w/some deterance for thur traffic should be considered as it would provide convenience.</p>	<p>Centres of excellence restrict access for all, restricts it to higher skilled/elite participants. Is in conflict with an inclusive community as guiding principle of the strategic plan.</p>			<p>A policy needs to be put in place for the proper care of fields so that is doesn't become necessary to shut down as Maple for periods of time.</p>			
<p>No extensions on Fairview.</p> <p>If the use of GO has the potential to eliminate auto congestion.</p>	<p>Ok with it but make it bigger.</p> <p>It's time for this building to be updated.</p> <p>Have not seen this as a building accessible /useful to the public.</p>			<p>The difference in sizes of youth programs should dictate that the facility is used expressly for soccer pitches. Rugby & BMFA should have joint a facility as they have similar requirements & smaller #'s of registrants.</p> <p>A focus on rugby would be a better use oth park.</p> <p>I would support a second rugby field. No more soccer fields w/fences, locks & gates.</p>			

SHERWOOD FOREST PARK MASTER PLAN REVIEW ~ September 20, 2012 ~ Comment Sheet

Roads and Parking Lots	Community Centre Building	East Building and Pavilion	Domes	Sports Fields and Lights	Permitted vs Passive Areas	Trees and Green Space	NEW
Opposed road connection because of increased traffic.				Rugby can & should be able to stay there. They cause no problems & have been there for many years.			
				Research shows that a natural green area is essential for good health.			
				Make it community oriented. It's one a dimensionl park.			
				Do not relocate the rugby club.			
				As Rob Peachey mentioned the city has more than enough inventory.			
				No more playfields.			
				No need for soccer stadium.			
				Do we need an outdoor field & especially around shared services approach?			

SHERWOOD FOREST PARK MASTER PLAN REVIEW ~ September 20, 2012 ~ Comment Sheet

Roads and Parking Lots	Community Centre Building	East Building and Pavilion	Domes	Sports Fields and Lights	Permitted vs Passive Areas	Trees and Green Space	NEW
A minor connection w/some deterance for thur traffic should be considered as it would provide convenience.	Centres of excellence restrict access for all, restricts it to higher skilled/elite participants. Is in conflict with an inclusive community as guiding principle of the strategic plan.			A policy needs to be put in place for the proper care of fields so that is doesn't become necessary to shut down as Maple for periods of time.			
No extensions on Fairview. If the use of GO has the potential to eliminate auto congestion.	Ok with it but make it bigger. It's time for this building to be updated. Have not seen this as a building accessible /useful to the public.			The difference in sizes of youth programs should dictate that the facility is used expressly for soccer pitches. Rugby & BMFA should have joint a facility as they have similar requirements & smaller #'s of registrants. A focus on rugby would be a better use oth park. I would support a second rugby field. No more soccer fields w/fences, locks & gates.			

SHERWOOD FOREST PARK MASTER PLAN REVIEW ~ September 20, 2012 ~ Comment Sheet

Roads and Parking Lots	Community Centre Building	East Building and Pavilion	Domes	Sports Fields and Lights	Permitted vs Passive Areas	Trees and Green Space	NEW
Opposed road connection because of increased traffic.	The city should consider finding partners to fund & reside in the building.			Lost PanAm shouldn't lose another opportunity for supporting 9000 players.			
The road would split the park making the land unuseable.	Maintain neighbourhood safety.			Current fields are acceptable need to improve conditions on grass fields.			
No need to endanger users' w/higher speed of traffic & increase number of cars bypassing the highway. Access has greatly improved w/the redesign of GO station & the road leading to the park & doesn't need to be connected to the east sd.				Meet the needs of all; need to be flexible Keep the fields as is now. Please go to Cit Park w/the soccer.			
Have an entrance/exit to the east side of the park to Burloak Dr. Add trees for a buffer.				Maximize green space to fit in more soccer pitches.			
				Relocating rugby club-this is news to rugby rep, who from the city has had discussions with club?			
				Has there been consideration given to the environmental impact of heating a seasonal dome?			

SHERWOOD FOREST PARK MASTER PLAN REVIEW ~ September 20, 2012 ~ Comment Sheet

What key questions or issues would you like the Sherwood Forest Master Plan to address?	What are your aspirations for the Sherwood Forest Master (and Community Centre) Master Plan? What do you want it to look like in 10-20 years?	If the Sherwood Forest Park Master Plan did only a few things to enhance & secure the future of the park, what would you like them to be?
<p>East entrance/exit to Burloak, stop/reduce traffic on Fothergill & Prince William. Trees all along the south side of the railway tracks.</p> <p>Sherwood Building; sport field conditions; kill the extension road.</p> <p>Dead end Fairview where it is at; add centre of excellence for soccer & sport.</p>	<p>An inclusive, safe, natural park that is accessible to all.</p> <p>Soccer centre or sports centre w/excellence. Premier park in Ontario able to host high level sports events.</p> <p>Upgrade building; increase natural areas.</p>	<p>Maintain existing outdoor rec space, enhance & celebrate the natural environment.</p> <p>Make the building useable.</p> <p>Shields on lights to help w/light pollution.</p> <p>Sports enhanced; look at other modes of transportation into the park.</p>
<p>How will it address increasing traffic flow?</p> <p>Stop & put to bed the Fairview to Harvester bridge; maintain flexibility in providing opportunities to use park by various sports.</p> <p>More community programs.</p> <p>Do not increase footprint of sporting fields existing sufficient. No preference to type of sporting field, let popularity decide. User pay for sporting fields.</p>	<p>Park should be a gem in the Burlington downtown mixed use/high quality.</p> <p>Is a city park that should focus to serve the whole service.</p> <p>Include the Appleby branch library; change room facilities.</p> <p>Lots of open space for the public.</p> <p>Expanded nature area, reduce turf. Close parking lot closest to Sheldon Creek, convert to forest expand east parking lot.</p> <p>A healthy forest/creek area.</p>	<p>Add more parking.</p> <p>Strategic use of trees around railroad.</p> <p>Better policed.</p> <p>Focus on multi-use.</p> <p>Give the fields back to the community.</p> <p>Picnic areas, tennis.</p> <p>Add more parking.</p> <p>Improve facilities to lengthen their life.</p> <p>Keep the forest healthy.</p>
<p>Could you please settle the issue of whether or not rugby is going to be preserved w/some measure of expansion within Sherwood.</p> <p>How it will affect the neighborhood.</p> <p>Focus on multi use development.</p>	<p>Park should be a gem in the Burlington crown. Mixed use/high quality sporting along w/natural areas.</p> <p>Something for all age groups & interests.</p> <p>No more fences, locks or gates.</p>	<p>No Fairview extension, recreation upgrade.</p> <p>Splash pad, basketball courts, outdoor ice rink.</p> <p>Pedestrian/bike path should not mix w/car traffic.</p>
<p>Remove road extensions from Master Plan.</p>	<p>Multi-use park w/public access.</p>	<p>Stop anymore private interests.</p> <p>Plant more trees.</p>

SHERWOOD FOREST PARK MASTER PLAN REVIEW ~ September 20, 2012 ~ Comment Sheet

What key questions or issues would you like the Sherwood Forest Master Plan to address?	What are your aspirations for the Sherwood Forest Master (and Community Centre) Master Plan? What do you want it to look like in 10-20 years?	If the Sherwood Forest Park Master Plan did only a few things to enhance & secure the future of the park, what would you like them to be?
<p>No road thru.</p> <p>Preservation of green space. Removal of domes & development of a real field house. Repair of fields to playing condition.</p> <p>More community, all age use of the park.</p>	<p>Open space.</p> <p>Should be a place for everyone to be able to go to & enjoy.</p> <p>Well maintained playing fields. Green space. Full size artificial turf playing field.</p>	<p>Preserve the space.</p> <p>Ensure all residents can use the park & not just organized sports.</p> <p>The park is for everyone not just soccer.</p>
<p>What can be put in the park for our seniors & families who are not involved in soccer.</p> <p>Aspire to multi-use park that addresses the needs of the city as a whole.</p> <p>Shut down parking lot & car through way east of Sheldon Creek. Control & eliminate further expansion of facilities. Eliminate plan to put in Fairview through way.</p>	<p>Not just a sports park.</p> <p>I want it to look like a park not sports complex.</p> <p>Recreation centre allow for multi-purpose that addresses the baby boomers.</p> <p>Eliminate vehicle traffic in & around the park; keep natural area same or larger.</p>	<p>Remove soccer centre of excellence from the master plan.</p> <p>The extension of Fairview Rd. be removed from plan.</p> <p>No roads or bridges.</p>
<p>Roadways & community centre.</p> <p>Being a park that is inclusive.</p> <p>Lack of tennis courts; larger pavillion.</p> <p>The lighting in the baseball diamonds.</p> <p>Safety, pedestrians need to be separated from cars.</p>	<p>No more locked fences.</p> <p>I want it to be a green space/sports field that all in the community can use & enjoy.</p> <p>It remains a public park.</p> <p>The community centre should be updated, the fence should be removed.</p>	<p>Tennis courts.</p> <p>Block lights from soccer field.</p> <p>Skateboard, tennis courts no more soccer.</p> <p>Get the cars out of the park.</p> <p>Maximize the greenspace & natural areas.</p>
<p>Separate the traffic, the south road should be closed to cars.</p> <p>No more artificial turf, no more fences, park open to all.</p> <p>Administration of the domes. The city should be instituting the fees & permits not the soccer club.</p> <p>More areas for sitting, the idea of a road between Burloak & Fairview needs to be removed from consideration.</p> <p>Connection of Fairview to Harvester would make the area much too busy.</p> <p>No off-leash.</p>	<p>Expand the community centre.</p> <p>Remain as a greenspace, open to everyone.</p> <p>Remove tennis courts.</p>	<p>More trees on the east side, a pedestrian only path on the east side is key.</p> <p>The creation of a couple of courts to play volleyball.</p>